UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/591,265	07/12/2007	Stefan Luke	AP 10901	9304
Gerlinde Nattle	7590 08/25/200 <b>r</b>	EXAMINER		
Continental Tev		ARTHUR JEANGLAUDE, GERTRUDE		
One Continental Drive Auburn Hills, MI 48326			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3661	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/25/2009	PAPER

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/591,265	LUKE ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		GERTRUDE ARTHUR JEANGLAUD	3661				
The MAILING DA Period for Reply	TE of this communication	appears on the cover shee	et with the correspondence ac	ldress			
WHICHEVER IS LONG  - Extensions of time may be ava after SIX (6) MONTHS from the  - If NO period for reply is specification.  - Failure to reply within the set of	ER, FROM THE MAILING illable under the provisions of 37 CF a mailing date of this communication ad above, the maximum statutory per extended period for reply will, by see later than three months after the results.	G DATE OF THIS COMMURA 1.136(a). In no event, however, man.	ay a reply be timely filed  MONTHS from the mailing date of this one ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) Responsive to co	mmunication(s) filed on <u>1</u>	12 July 2007.					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FIN</b>	· · · ·	This action is non-final.					
,		owance except for formal r ler <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935	natters, prosecution as to the C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	e merits is			
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17-31</u> is, 4a) Of the above of 5)□ Claim(s) is 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17 and 1</u> 7)□ Claim(s) is	/are allowed. <u>/8</u> is/are rejected. /are objected to.	ation. ndrawn from consideration. nd/or election requirement					
Application Papers							
9)⊠ The specification i	s objected to by the Exar	miner.					
	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>31 August 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	,		eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
		•	ving(s) is objected to. See 37 Cl ched Office Action or form P1	` '			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some  1.⊠ Certified co  2.□ Certified co  3.□ Copies of to  application	e * c) None of:  pies of the priority docun  pies of the priority docun  ne certified copies of the  from the International Bu	eign priority under 35 U.S. nents have been received nents have been received priority documents have be reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	in Application No een received in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Pa 3) Information Disclosure State Paper No(s)/Mail Date	tent Drawing Review (PTO-948 ement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper 5) D Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date e of Informal Patent Application				

Art Unit: 3661

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Specification

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

In the abstract, the word "means" in line 5 should be avoided.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000.

Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Shimizu et al. (U.S. Patent No. 7,366,595).

Regarding claim 17, Shimizu et al. disclose a parking assistance device for a vehicle comprising a parking assistance unit that permits autonomous parking or steering of the vehicle on a path for parking or assists a driver of the vehicle in a parking operation on the path for parking the vehicle by applying a steering torque to a steering wheel, wherein the driver is guided by at least one artificial steering stop on the path for parking the vehicle, and a measurement and a determination of position from signals from wheel rpm sensors and a steering angle sensor (See col. 16, lines 35-62; col. 5, lines 47-51).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mattes et al. (U.S. Pub No. 20030160717) in view of Shimizu et al. (U.S. Patent No. 7,366,595)

Art Unit: 3661

Regarding claim 18, Mattes et al. disclose a method for measuring a parking space (See abstract) comprising: measuring a lateral distance of the parking space (See paragraph 0014, abstract, 0022). Mattes et al. fail to specifically disclose determining a position based on a steering angle and a change in path information wherein the change in path information is determined based on signals from wheel rpm sensors. In an analogous art, Shimizu et al. disclose a vehicle drive assist system wherein it discloses determining a position based on a steering angle and a change in path information wherein the change in path information is determined based on signals from wheel rpm sensors (See col. 11, lines 23-31; col. 16, lines 45-60; col. 15, lines 12-19). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the system of Mattes et al. with that of Shimizu et al. by determining a position based on a steering angle and a change in path information since it would allow parking assist to a driver in his parking operation.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 19-31 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The prior art fails to disclose detecting corners of objects or vehicles bordering the parking space; determining valid ranges for fronts of the objects or vehicles bordering the parking space; determining the fronts of the objects or vehicles bordering the parking space; and calculating the corners of the objects or vehicles bordering the parking space from the valid ranges; nor does the prior art disclose determining a

Art Unit: 3661

current vehicle position by determining a distance delta s by which the vehicle has moved since a last scanning step on the basis of the wheel rpm sensor signals and a scaling factor; calculating a yaw angle of the vehicle on the basis of the distance delta s determined, the steering angle sensor signals and a wheel base of the vehicle; determining a particular current yaw angle by a recursive equation (as shown in the claim) and; determining a current actual x position and actual y position of a rear axle midpoint from the current yaw angle and the current steering angle.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to GERTRUDE ARTHUR JEANGLAUD whose telephone number is (571)272-6954. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Black can be reached on (571) 272-6956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/591,265 Page 6

Art Unit: 3661

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Gertrude Arthur-Jeanglaude/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3661